



County of San Bernardino  
Office of the District Attorney  
JASON ANDERSON, District Attorney  
Appellate Services Unit

May 10, 2024

*Via Email*

Sennett  
163092-96502295@requests.muckrock.com

Re: Your California Public Records Act Request  
Communications regarding Edin Enamorado

Dear Sir or Madam:

The San Bernardino County District Attorney has received your California Public Records Act (CPRA) request. It was submitted via email, through the “MuckRock” platform.<sup>1</sup> It was received on Monday, May 6, 2024.

You have requested:

Any correspondence between the San Bernardino Sheriff department and/or Fontana city Mayor Acquanetta Warren and/or San Bernardino district attorney and/or Pomona Police department regarding Edin Alex Enamorado and or anyone involved what is known as the "Justice 8".

(As in original.)

**Scope of Search**

We have searched for records related to Edin Alex Enamorado, a defendant in the case of *People of the State of California v. Edin A. Enamorado, et al.*, San Bernardino County Superior Court case number FVI23003849. We have not searched for records of anyone else, as “Justice 8” is vague and does not sufficiently identify the records in question. Where records would clearly be exempt from release, if they existed, we did not search for them.

**Requests for Correspondence Between the District Attorney and other Law Enforcement Agencies (Sheriff, Pomona Police)**

Correspondence between the District Attorney’s Office and other law enforcement agencies—the San Bernardino County Sheriff and the Pomona Police Department—are

---

<sup>1</sup> Please note that we have had some technical difficulties with MuckRock in the past. It is generally advisable to include a second email address, in case communications via MuckRock do not go through.

Sennett  
CPRA: Enamorado  
May 10, 2024

Page 2

exempt from disclosure under the CPRA as law enforcement investigatory files. (Gov. Code, § 7923.600; see also *County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court* (2005) 130 Cal.App.4th 1099, 1107 and *Fagan v. Superior Court* (2003) 111 Cal.App.4th 607, 615.)

Separately, such communications would concern an ongoing case and ongoing investigation, which also makes it exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 7927.705; Evid. Code, § 1040; *People v. Suff* (2014) 58 Cal.4th 1013, 1059.)

**Request for Correspondence Between the District Attorney and Fontana Mayor Acquanetta Warren**

Any communication with Mayor Warren concerning her status as a witness in the case or as a victim of crime would be part of a law enforcement investigatory file and part of an ongoing case and ongoing investigation, making it exempt from disclosure under the CPRA under the authorities cited in the previous section.

We have, to date, not been able to locate any other correspondence with Mayor Warren related to this case.

Cordially,

Brent J. Schultze  
Lead Deputy District Attorney  
Appellate Services Unit

cc: Public Affairs